UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,282	282 11/20/2003 Koji Tanonaka		02-51786	3194
<sup>79326</sup> Fujitsu Patent C	7590 06/29/201 Center	EXAMINER		
Fujitsu Manage	ment Services of Ame	NAJEE-ULLAH, TARIQ S		
2318 Mill Road, Suite 1010 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2453		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pbrisky@us.fujitsu.com tauchterlonie@us.fujitsu.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/719,282	TANONAKA, KOJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TARIQ S. NAJEE-ULLAH	2453	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3.</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d)  ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: They present changes to terminology of the specification. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	e claimed subject matter without sp	ecific support from th	e original
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Regarding 101 arguments, Examiner maintains that an a hardware is considered software per se and is not one of In response to applicant's arguments against the reference references individually where the rejections are based or 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 23 thoroughly stated in final office action.	apparatus comprising software without fithe statutory categories of invention ces individually, one cannot show a combinations of references. See	out specific functional ons. Regarding 103 a nonobviousness by at In re Keller, 642 F.2d	structure of irguments, 1. ttacking 413, 208 USPQ
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Joseph Thomas/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2453 /T. S. N./

Examiner, Art Unit 2453

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100618

Application No.